Expert COSYSMO

Ray Madachy, Ricardo Valerdi USC Center for Systems and Software Engineering MIT Lean Aerospace Initiative <u>madachy@usc.edu</u>, <u>rvalerdi@mit.edu</u>

Abstract

Expert COSYSMO is an expert system tool for systems engineering risk assessment based on the Constructive Systems Engineering Cost Model (COSYSMO). It has been developed with collaboration between USC CSSE, its industrial affiliates and MIT. The technique is an extension of COSYSMO which supports project planning by identifying, categorizing, quantifying, and prioritizing system-level risks. A survey was used to identify and quantify risks, and the expert assessment has been implemented in an Internet-based tool. The tool is being refined for sustained usage on projects by providing risk control advice, updating the rule base and being integrated into a more comprehensive risk management framework.

Method

Approaches for identifying systems engineering risks are usually separate from cost estimation. The automated technique identifies risks in conjunction with cost estimation, as it analyzes patterns of cost driver ratings submitted for a COSYSMO cost estimate. It identifies individual risks that an experienced systems engineering manager might recognize but often fails to take into account. This information helps users determine and rank associated sources of project risk. With these risks, mitigation plans can be created based on the relative risk severities and provided advice.

Predetermined combinations of driver ratings provide red flags of possible risks as the project progresses along its life cycle. For example, if the architecture understanding cost driver is rated "Very Low" and the technology maturity is also "Very Low" then this indicates a potential risk in the project given that systems with immature technologies are more difficult to implement especially when the architecture is not well understood. These scenarios are predetermined and configured into the model as a set of rules that can automate and improve the risk management process.

Figure 1 shows these risk conditions identified from the survey and implemented in the model. Figure 2 presents sample outputs of Expert COSYSMO with un-scaled risks.

Current and Future Work

The risk levels are being calibrated for usage, and we are making the outputs more actionable so that explicit risk management steps can be undertaken by users. Specific tasks to be completed before the Forum include:

- Scaling the risk summary outputs for each category and defining ranges for low, medium and high risks
- Adding more explanation to the summary outputs to rationalize the risk quantities
- Documenting expert risk mitigation advice for each risk condition, and providing that automated guidance to users to help develop their own mitigation actions.





| File Edit Vi | | | | | no Engine | cring cost i | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|---|--|
| | ew <u>G</u> o <u>B</u> o | okmarks] | <u>Fools</u> <u>W</u> indo | ow <u>H</u> elp | | | | |
| Back O Fo | prward Rel | oad Stop | Sk http:/ | //csse.usc.e | du/~madachy/ | php/Expert%20C0 | DSYSMO%20v.%20.95 | Search Print |
| 🟦 Home 🗄 | Bookmark: | s 👒 Custon | nize | | | | | |
| | | Co | nstructive | E: Systems I | xpert COSY Engineering | SMO Cost Model Ri | isk Advisor | SEA |
| ystem Size | | | Easv | Nominal | Difficult | | | |
| of System Requirements | | 10 | 14 | 8 | | | | |
| of System Interfaces | | 4 | 11 | 3 | | | | |
| of Algorithms | | | 10 | 23 | 16 |] | | |
| of Operational Scenarios | | | 6 | 7 | 2 |] | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| ost Drivers | | | | | | | Personnel | |
| Understanding Low | | Documentation # and Diversity of | | | Nominal | Experience/Continuity | Very Low 💌 | |
| Architecture Low | | Low | Installations/Platforr | | ms | Nominal 💌 | Process Capability | Nominal 💌 |
| evel of Service Very Hig | | Very High | # of Recursive Leve Design | | els in the | Low 💌 | Multisite Coordination | Nominal 💌 |
| ligration Complexity Very High | | Stakeholder Team Co | | Cohesion | Very Low 💌 | Tool Support | Very Low 💌 | |
| Technology Risk High | | Personnel/Team Capat | | anability | Neminel M | | | |
| | an [| High | × | | apability | Nominal | | |
| ystems Engin iffort Distribut | neering Effor | High t = 3635 Per -Months) | rson-months | | Risk Summa | ny | Prioritized R | isks |
| ystems Engin ffort Distribu Phase / Activity | neering Effor tion (Person Conceptuali | High t = 3635 Per -Months) ze Develop | Coperationa Test and Evaluation | I Transition to Operation | Risk Summa | ry 60 | Prioritized R High requ_arch | isks Medium Low requ_serv requ_team |
| ystems Engin ffort Distribut Phase / Activity Acquisition and Supply | eering Effor tion (Person Conceptuali: 71.3 | High t = 3635 Per -Months) ze Develop 129.8 | Coperationa Test and Evaluation 33.1 | I Transition to Operation 20.4 | Risk Summa Product Process Personne | ny 60 20 | Prioritized R High requ_arch arch_trsk arch_pexp | isks Medium Low requ_serv requ_team requ_migr requ_serv requ_risk requ_serv arch_serv requ_serv |
| ystems Engin ffort Distribut Phase / Activity Acquisition and Supply Technical Management | neering Effor tion (Person Conceptuali 71.3 136.0 | High t = 3635 Per -Months) ze Develop 129.8 234.9 | Operationa Test and Evaluation 33.1 154.5 | I Transition to Operation 20.4 92.7 | Risk Summa Product Process Personne | ry 60 2 20 | Prioritized R High requ_arch arch_trsk arch_pexp | isks Medium Low requ_serv requ_eam requ_ming requ_serv requ_tsk requ_serv arch_ming requ_serv arch_tsen arch_tool |
| ystems Engin ffort Distribut Phase / Activity Acquisition and Supply Technical Management System Design | eering Effor tion (Person Conceptuali 71.3 136.0 370.9 | High t = 3635 Per -Months) ze Develop 129.8 234.9 436.3 | Coperational Test and Evaluation 33.1 154.5 185.4 | Transition to Operation 20.4 92.7 98.2 | Risk Summa Product Process Personne | Ny 60 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 | Prioritized R High requ, arch arch_Trsk arch_pexp | isks Medium Low requ serv requ serv requ renv requ serv arch_serv requ serv arch_team arch_tool serv_trask serv_migr serv_trask serv_peap |
| stems Engin ffort Distribut Phase / Activity Acquisition and Supply Technical Management System Design Product Realization | neering Effor tion (Person Conceptualit 71.3 136.0 370.9 70.9 | High t = 3635 Per -Months) 2e Develop 129.8 234.9 436.3 163.6 | Coperations Test and Evaluation 33.1 154.5 185.4 174.5 | I Transition to Operation 20.4 92.7 98.2 136.3 | Risk Summa Product Process Personne | ny 60 2 2 2 | Prioritized R High requ_arch arch_trsk arch_pexp | isks Medium Low requ_serv requ_team requ_migr requ_serv arch_serv requ_serv arch_serv requ_serv arch_team arch_looi serv_tesk serv_migr serv_tesk serv_migr serv_tesm serv_pexp migr_tesk serv_tooi migr_tesk serv_tooi |
| ystems Engin (ffort Distribur Phase / Activity Acquisition and Supply Technical Management System Design Product Realization Product Evaluation | Answering Effortion (Person Conceptualit 71.3 136.0 370.9 70.9 202.9 | High t = 3635 Per -Months) ze Develop 234.9 436.3 163.6 304.3 | Operational Test and Evaluation 33.1 154.5 185.4 174.5 450.9 | Il Transition to Operation 20.4 92.7 98.2 136.3 169.1 | Risk Summa Product Process Personne | ry 60 20 | Prioritized R High requ_arch arch_rsk arch_pexp | isks Medium Low requ_serv requ_team requ_serv requ_tsk requ_serv arch_serv requ_serv arch_team arch_tool serv_tsk serv_nop migr_tsk serv_tool migr_tsk serv_tool tsk_team tsk_perp tsk_ten tsk_perp tsk_tool |
| ystems Engin effort Distribur Phase / Activity Acquisition and Supply Technical Management System Design Product Realization Product Evaluation Product Evaluation | eering Effor tion (Person Conceptuali: 71.3 136.0 370.9 70.9 202.9 202.9 ssion submit this p | High t = 3635 Per -Months) ze Develop 129.8 234.9 436.3 163.6 304.3 | Operations Test and Evaluation 33.1 154.5 185.4 174.5 450.9 USC CSSE. | I Transition to Operation 20.4 92.7 98.2 136.3 169.1 You will be : | Risk Summa Product Process Personne | ry 60 2 20 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | Prioritized R High requ, anch arch_trsk arch_pexp | isks Medium Low requ_serv requ_serv requ_serv requ_serv arch_serv requ_serv arch_serv requ_serv arch_serv requ_serv arch_serv requ_serv arch_serv requ_serv arch_serv_serv_serv_perv migr_trest serv_perv migr_trest serv_tool migr_tool trest_terv trest_terv trest_terv trest_terv trest_terv trest_tool |
| ystems Engin iffort Distribur Phase / Activity Acquisition and Supply Management System Design Product Realization Product Evaluation Fraduct Evaluation | An electring Effor tion (Person Conceptualic 71.3 136.0 370.9 202.9 202.9 ssion submit this p | High t = 3635 Per -Months) ze Develop 129.8 234.9 436.3 163.6 304.3 roject data to | Operations Test and Evaluation 33.1 154.5 185.4 174.5 450.9 | I Transition to Operation 20.4 92.7 98.2 136.3 169.1 You will be a | Risk Summa Product Product Personne | ry 60 2 20 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | Prioritized R High requ_arch arch_pexp | isks Medium Low requ_serv requ_team requ_serv requ_serv requ_tsk requ_serv arch_serv requ_serv arch_tsem arch_tool serv_tsk serv_more migr_tsk serv_tool migr_tsk, feam trsk_pero trsk_tool |

Figure 2: Sample Output (Un-scaled Risks)

Subsequently we will create more granular risk quantification rules. The initial risk assessment scheme will be elaborated into a finer grained risk assessment, and the survey will be continued. The tool will also be expanded to detect COSYSMO input anomalies.

Systems engineering risk data from industrial projects will be analyzed to enhance and refine the technique as necessary. One goal is to have the method better supported by statistical validation tests. Domain experts from industry and government will continue to provide feedback and clarification. Future work will also involve exploration of alternate risk and uncertainty approaches to eventually integrate multiple risk management viewpoints into a more complete risk management framework.